## **Determination**

# Standards for Rangeland Health and Conformance with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management

Field Office: Jarbidge Field Office				Watershed Name: Cedar Creek	
Allotment Name/Number: Cedar Creek / 1131					
Public Land (acres)		Streams on Public Land (miles):			
<b>Upland:</b> 45,954	Riparian:	<b>Total:</b> 24,945	Ced	lar Creek (6.9 mi.)	
Date(s) of Field Assessment: 2002-03				me of Permittee(s): lar Creek Cattle Co.	
Assessment Part Arnie Pike, Rang James Klott, Wild Clare Josaitis, Na John Ash, Natura Sheri Hagwood, I Patricia Courtney	reland Manageme dlife Biologist atural Resource S al Resource Speci Botanist	ent Specialist pecialist alist	or In	terest):	
Standard 1 (Water Check those that a		re boxes must	be ch	necked.]	
☐ Standard does					
X Meeting the Standard.		Graz	ot Meeting the Standard, Livestock ring Management Practices are ificant Factors.		
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.		Graz	ot Meeting the Standard, Livestock ring Management Practices are <b>not</b> ificant Factors.		
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.			oes not conform with Guidelines for stock Grazing Management Guideline s).		

Rationale/Information Sources:

Most of the allotment is stable with no ongoing erosion. Bare ground was slightly higher in a few of the native vegetation areas, ranging from 5 to 20 percent and seeded areas ranging from

22 to 29 percent in the seeded vegetation communities. Generally, based on reference areas, bare ground should be less than 10 percent. There is also a high amount of bare ground in some of the aspen stands. While of concern, these area are relatively small. Management practices such as locating supplemental feeding (salt, mineral block) and water sites away from these areas would mitigate the concern.

Standard 2 (Riparian Areas and Wetlands)	
Check those that apply:[One or more boxes mus	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
☐ Meeting the Standard.	X Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	X Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s). 5
cattle are able to access the riparian area. Young canes are largely damaged or broken.  Standard 3 (Stream Channel/Floodplain)	
Check those that apply:[One or more boxes mus	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
☐ Meeting the Standard.	X Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	X Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s). 7

Rationale/Information Sources:

Cattle are accessing the creek through fences that are not maintained and are trampling and shearing streambanks. Stream banks that are not protected by woody vegetation have been mechanically damaged by livestock use, causing the stream channel to widen and straighten.

Standard 4 (Native Plant Communities) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
☐ Meeting the Standard.	X Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	X Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s). 1, 12
the Highway Pasture big sagebrush plants are de community. Another exception is the aspen stan shade. In some of these stands, the understory v Standard 5 (Seedings)	<u> </u>
Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
☐ Meeting the Standard.	X Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	X Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline

### Rationale/Information Sources:

The seedings met most of the indicators of the Standard 5 for Seedings with the exception of Invasive species. Diffuse knapweed has been noted in the lower elevation pastures (Roseworth Reservoir and Highway Fields) in the Cedar Creek Allotment and has expanded into the seedings in some areas. Heavy use on four-wing saltbush, seeded into crucial mule deer winter range, has resulted in severe hedging and mortality on this important browse species. The use appears to be being made by livestock in the summer and fall prior to mule deer moving onto the winter range. Bare ground was relatively high in seedings varying from 22 percent to 29 percent. Biological soil crusts were low (0 to 4 percent) in seedings. The soil crusts were early seral species. This is expected due to the impacts of wild fire and drill seeding.

Standard 6 (Exotic Plant Communities, Other Check those that apply: [One or more boxes must	<b>G</b> ,
X Standard doesn't apply	
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.
☐ Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).
Rationale/Information Sources:	
Standard 7 (Water Quality) Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must	t be checked.]
☐ Standard doesn't apply	
X Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).

#### Rationale/Information Sources:

A brief water quality summary discussion of the creek concludes that; for the past ten years (1993-02), Cedar Creek has been basically meeting all State water quality standards for the beneficial uses of a cold water biota and secondary recreation contact stream. However, maximum temperatures have approached the threshold of 22° C a few times in 1993, '94, '96, '01 and '02. Also, a one-day-in-time fecal coliform count exceeded the standard of 800 per 100 ml in 1996. Other coliform counts have been at 800/100ml or less for the most part at other data collection times. More specific and dedicated coliform monitoring is probably needed in the future to reach a better understanding of this water quality attribute. All other water quality attributes measured by the BLM appear to be within the State's water quality limits and standards for the beneficial uses of this stream. No biological water parameters have been monitored by the BLM in this creek.

Additionally, there are a few other open waters within the allotment consisting of springs and ponds. The quality of these waters has not been monitored by the BLM. Most water for livestock use is distributed throughout much of the allotment by means of pipelines and troughs. Sources for these pipeline systems come from either a spring on BLM land or a ground water well on private land. The quality of these sources is not monitored by the BLM either, but is assumed to be of high quality since they come directly from the individual sources.

### **Standard 8 (Threatened and Endangered Plants and Animals)**

Check those that apply:[One or more boxes must be checked.]

☐ Standard doesn't apply		
☐ Meeting the Standard.	☐ Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are Significant Factors.	
☐ Not Meeting the Standard, but making significant progress to meeting the Standard.	X Not Meeting the Standard, Livestock Grazing Management Practices are <b>not</b> Significant Factors.	
X Conforms with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.	☐ Does not conform with Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management Guideline No(s).	

Rationale/Information Sources:

The presence of sagebrush for sage grouse is the greatest concern here. The low presence of sage brush is mainly resulting from wildfire.

## **Determination**

I have determined that the applicable Standards for Rangeland Health 1 and 7 are being met in the Cedar Creek Allotment. Standards 2, 3, 4 and 5 are not being met and are not making significant progress and livestock grazing practices are a significant factor. Standard 8 is not being met and livestock are not a significant factor. Current livestock practices do not conform with some of the Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management.

/s/ E. Guerrero	<u>5/12/04</u>
Field Manager	Date